

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR & INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS
HAWAII CIVIL RIGHTS COMMISSION
State of Hawaii
830 Punchbowl St., Room 411
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813
Telephone: (808) 586-8636

STATE OF HAWAII
FILED

SEP 19 PM 2:22

J. TANAKASHI
CLERK

JOHN ISHIHARA, 1456-0
Attorney for Appellee

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIRST CIRCUIT
STATE OF HAWAII

DR. HAROLD STEINBERG,)	CIVIL NO. 96-4865-11
Appellant,)	(Agency Appeal)
vs.)	
)	ORDER AFFIRMING FINAL DECISION
*WILLIAM D. HOSHIJO, Executive)	OF HAWAII CIVIL RIGHTS
Director, Hawaii Civil Rights)	COMMISSION
Commission,)	
)	ORAL ARGUMENT:
Appellee.)	DATE: AUGUST 25, 1997
)	TIME: 10 A.M.
)	JUDGE: KEVIN S.C. CHANG

ORDER AFFIRMING FINAL DECISION OF HAWAII CIVIL RIGHTS COMMISSION

Oral Argument in the above-entitled case being held on August 25, 1997, at 10:00 a.m., before the Honorable Kevin S.C. Chang, and Appellant being represented by Wesley K.C. Lau, Esq., and Appellee being represented by John Ishihara, Esq.; the Court after conducting a de novo review under H.R.S. § 368-16(a), and carefully reviewing the entire record and considering the written submissions and arguments on behalf of the parties;

The Court finds and concludes as follows:

- 1) The Final Decision of the Hawaii Civil Rights Commission is supported by the reliable, probative, and substantial evidence in the record. The decision of the Commission is not clearly

* Note: Pursuant to Haw. R. Civ. Pro. Rule 25(d)(1), William D. Hoshijo, the present Executive Director, has been substituted for Linda C. Tseu as a party.

I do hereby certify that this is a full, true, and correct copy of the original on file in this office.

J. Tanakashi
Clerk of the Court

aneous. H.R.S. § 91-14(g). Dole Hawaii Division v. Ramil, 71
Haw. 419 (1990); Camara v. Agsalud, 67 Haw. 212 (1984).

2) The record does not reveal or establish that Appellant's
right to due process was violated. The extension of time to
complete the investigation regarding the subject administrative
complaint is authorized by statute and the entry of the protective
order requiring a telephonic deposition was warranted by the facts
and circumstances of this case. Neither the entry of the
protective order or the extension of time to complete the
investigation unduly prejudiced Appellant or compromised due
process.


3) Based on the foregoing, the Final Decision issued by the
Hawaii Civil Rights Commission on October 29, 1996 is hereby
AFFIRMED and the Appeal of Appellant Dr. Harold Steinberg is hereby
DENIED.

SEP 15 1997

DATED: Honolulu, Hawaii _____

KEVIN S. C. CHANG

JUDGE OF THE ABOVE-ENTITLED _____



APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Lesley K. Lau

LESLEY K.C. LAU

Attorney for Appellant Harold Steinberg